

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/378,261	08/20/1999	KENJI FUKUDOME	OMRF.152-DIV	8903
7,5	90 02/11/2003			
PATREA L. P	ABST ID KNIGHT LLP		EXAMINER	
	IC CENTER SUITE 2000)		
	HTREE STREET		ART UNIT	D + DED > 11 + CDED
ATLANTA, GA	30309-3400		ARTONII	PAPER NUMBER
			DATE MAILED: 02/11/2003	2/

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 07-01)



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO
	•			
			. Е	XAMINER
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		· <u>L</u>		
		DA	TE MAILED:	

Below is a communication from the EXAMINER in charge of this application

COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

to place the application in condition for allowance: ' 1. The proposed amendments to the claim and /or specification will not be entered and the final rejection stands because: a. If There is no convincing showing under 37 CFR 1.116(b) why the proposed amendment is necessary and was not earlier presented. b. If They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See Note). c. They raise the issue of new matter. (See Note). d. They raise the issue of new matter. (See Note). d. They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal. e. They present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: The proposed amendment to claim to the composition of the claims. NOTE: The proposed amendment to claim to the composition of the claims. Nowly proposed or amended claims and the stands of the claims and the fining an appeal, the proposed amendment will be allowed if submitted in a separately filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. 2. We have proposed or amended claims and the proposed amendment will be entered by will not be entered and the status of the claims will be as follows: Claims allowed: Claims allowed: Claims rejected: 5 4 2 3 5 2 7 3 5 2 7 3 5 2 7 3 5 4 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	,		/
b) expires three months from the date of the final rejection or as of the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In no event however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection. Any extension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.138(a), the proposed response and the appropriate fee The date on which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and the appropriate fee The date on which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and the appropriate fee The date on which the response, and so the date for the purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.192(a). Applicant's response to the final rejection, filed	- /2 / .	THE PERIOD FOR RESPONSE:	THE P
event however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection. Any extension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriate fee The date on which the response, the petition, and the less have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the file. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17 will be calculated from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above. Applicant's response to the final rejection, filed	continues to run $\frac{3/26/02}{}$ from the date of the final rejection	is extended to run	a) is
The date on which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17 will be calculated from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above. Applicant's Brief is due in accordance with 37 CFR 1.192(a) Applicant's response to the final rejection, filed 26 02 has been considered with the following effect, but it is not deemed to place the application in condition for allowance: I	final rejection or as of the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In no the response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection.		
Applicant's response to the final rejection, filed 11/26/02 has been considered with the following effect, but it is not deemed to place the application in condition for allowance: 1. The proposed amendments to the claim and /or specification will not be entered and the final rejection stands because: a. There is no convincing showing under 37 CFR 1.116(b) why the proposed amendment is necessary and was not earlier presented. b. They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See Note). c. They raise the issue of new matter. (See Note). d. They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal. e. They present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: The proposed amendment to claim to the claim to make the scape of the claim to the standard and the standard the claims will be as follows: Claims allowed: Claims pejected to: 3. The affidavit gxhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does not overcome the rejection because at Carlot and Standard and S	on , and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the insion and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR	The date on which the response, the purposes of determining the period	Ti pı
to place the application in condition for allowance: 1. The proposed amendments to the claim and /or specification will not be entered and the final rejection stands because: a. There is no convincing showing under 37 CFR 1.116(b) why the proposed amendment is necessary and was not earlier presented. b. They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See Note). c. They raise the issue of new matter. (See Note). d. They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal. e. They present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: The proposed amendment to claim the claim that would a materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal at would a materially rejected claims. NOTE: When proposed amended claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: When proposed or amended claims are a materially required to a material and a m	CFR 1/192(a)/	Appellant's Brief is due in accordance	Appel
a. There is no convincing showing under 37 CFR 1.116(b) why the proposed amendment is necessary and was not earlier presented. b. They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See Note). c. They raise the issue of new matter. (See Note). d. They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal. e. They present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: The proposed amendment to claim to manage the scope of the claims. NOTE: The proposed amendment to claim to the status of the claim to the non-allowable claims. 2. Wewly proposed or amended claims 24 + 25 would be allowed if submitted in a separately filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. 3. We upon the filing an appeal, the proposed amendment will be entered to will not be entered and the status of the claims will be as follows: Claims allowed: Claims objected to: 24 - 25 Claims rejected: 16 - 17 + 27 - 30 However; Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s): The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does not overcome the rejection because of the claims when the claim to the considered because applicant has not shown good and sufficent reasons why it was not earlier the consultant to the considered because applicant has not shown good and sufficent reasons why it was not earlier the consultant.			
b. They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See Note). c. They raise the issue of new matter. (See Note). d. They raise the issue of new matter. (See Note). d. They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal. e. They present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: The proposed amendment to claim I managed at would understand the stand of the claims. NOTE: The proposed amendment to claim I managed at would understand the stand of the claims. NOTE: The proposed amendment to claim I managed at would understand the stand of the claims. 2. We have proposed or amended claims 24 + 25 would be allowed if submitted in a separately filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. 3. Upon the filing an appeal, the proposed amendment will be entered to will not be entered and the status of the claims will be as follows: Claims allowed: Claims objected to: 24 - 25 Claims rejected: 16 - 17 - 27 - 30 However; Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s): 4. The affidavit, gxhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but goes not overcomp the rejection because of anti- for "Le Composed" to the claims which has not shown good and sufficent reasons why it was not earlier presented. The affidavit or exhibit will not be considered because applicant has not shown good and sufficent reasons why it was not earlier presented.	d /or specification will not be entered and the final rejection stands because:	The proposed amendments to the c	1. 🔽 Th
c. They raise the issue of new matter. (See Note). d. They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal. e. They present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: The proposed amendment to claim? name the scape of the claim. NOTE: The proposed amendment to claim? name the scape of the claim. 2. We newly proposed or amended claims. 24 + 25 would be allowed if submitted in a separately filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. 3. We upon the filing an appeal, the proposed amendment will be entered will not be entered and the status of the claims will be as follows: Claims allowed: Claims allowed: Claims rejected: 5 - 17 + 27 - 30 However; Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s): 4. The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does not overcome the rejection because at company of the claims will be asset for large of antisylven and change of the claim. The affidavit or exhibit will not be considered because applicant has not shown good and sufficent reasons why it was not earlier presented. The affidavit or exhibit will not be considered because applicant has not shown good and sufficent reasons why it was not earlier presented. The affidavit or exhibit will not be considered because applicant has not shown good and sufficent reasons why it was not earlier presented.	er 37 CFR 1.116(b) why the proposed amendment is necessary and was not earlier		a.
d. They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal. e. They present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: The proposed amendant to claim I manage the scape of the claims the lacks anticeled the basis for the composition. 2. Newly proposed or amended claims 24 + 25 would be allowed if submitted in a separately filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. 3. Upon the filing an appeal, the proposed amendment will be entered will not be entered and the status of the claims will be as follows: Claims allowed: Claims objected to: 24 - 26 Claims rejected: 16 - 17 + 27 - 30 However; Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s): 4. The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does got overcome the rejection because at constant challengs and the claims will be scape of the claims which will not be considered because applicant has not shown good and sufficent reasons why it was not earlier a presented. The affidavit or exhibit will not be considered because applicant has not shown good and sufficent reasons why it was not earlier appresented. The affidavit or exhibit will not be considered because applicant has not shown good and sufficent reasons why it was not earlier appresented.	quire-further consideration and/or search. (See Note).	b. They raise new issues that w	b.
e. They present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: The proposed amendment to claim managed it would markle for the claims of the claims and a new shared in modified the non-allowable claims. 2. We Newly proposed or amended claims 24 + 25 would be allowed if submitted in a separately filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. 3. We upon the filling an appeal, the proposed amendment will be entered will not be entered and the status of the claims will be as follows: Claims allowed: Claims objected to: 24 - 26 Claims rejected: 16 - 17 + 27 - 30 However; Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s): 4. The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does not overcome the rejection because at constant of anticlaims and the status of the claims will be affidavit or exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does not overcome the rejection because at constant of anticlaims. The affidavit or exhibit will not be considered because applicant has not shown good and sufficent reasons why it was not earlier presented. The affidavit or exhibit will not be considered because applicant has not shown good and sufficent reasons why it was not earlier presented.	See Note).	c. They raise the issue of new r	C.
NOTE: The proposed amendment to claim I manage the scape of the claims delanged the scape is changed it would various claims. 2. We newly proposed or amended claims 24 + 25 would be allowed it submitted in a separately filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. 3. We upon the filing an appeal, the proposed amendment will be entered will not be entered and the status of the claims will be as follows: Claims allowed: Claims objected to: 24 - 26 Claims rejected: 16 - 17 + 27 - 30 However; Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s): 4. The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does not overcome the rejection because at computer the considered but a considered but does not overcome the rejection because at computer the considered but a considered but does not overcome the rejection because at computer the considered because applicant has not shown good and sufficent reasons why it was not earlier a presented. The affidavit or exhibit will not be considered because applicant has not shown good and sufficent reasons why it was not earlier appresented. **August 1990-1990-1990-1990-1990-1990-1990-1990	application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for		d.
Newly proposed or amended claims 24 + 25 would be allowed if submitted in a separately filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. 3. When the filing an appeal, the proposed amendment will be entered will not be entered and the status of the claims will be as follows: Claims allowed: Claims objected to: Claims rejected: Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s): The affidavit, gxhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does not overcome the rejection because at constant of the claims and the status of the claims will be as follows: The affidavit, gxhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does not overcome the rejection because at constant of the claim of the claims of the cl	out cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.	e. They present additional clain	€.
the non-allowable claims. 3. [V Upon the filing an appeal, the proposed amendment will be entered will not be entered and the status of the claims will be as follows: Claims allowed: Claims objected to: 24 - 26 Claims rejected: 16 - 17 + 27 - 30 However; Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s): 4. The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does not overcome the rejection because of antisymmetric forms of antisymmetric	Inent to claim 1) narrows the scope of the clo excope is changed, it would require attor and a new shapel cla haddition necessary basis for the compound"	NOTE: The proposed of further consumated factoring	NO
be as follows: Claims allowed: Claims objected to: 24 - 26 Claims rejected: 16 - 17 + 27 - 30 However; Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s): 4. The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does not overcome the rejection because at consumption of the considered because applicant has not shown good and sufficent reasons why it was not earlier appresented. Luther consultation of the consumption of the con	4+25 would be allowed if submitted in a separately filed amendment cancelling		
Claims rejected to: 24-26 Claims rejected: 16-17 ± 27-30 However; Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s): 4. If The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does not overcome the rejection because at care without coloring a new 112, 2nd Released but does not overcome the rejection because at care without coloring a new 112, 2nd Released but does not overcome the rejection because of antistic for the support of the claim which was the support of the claim which was 5. The affidavit or exhibit will not be considered because applicant has not shown good and sufficent reasons why it was not earlier appresented. Suppose the consideration of the considered because applicant has not shown good and sufficent reasons why it was not earlier appresented.	nendment 🔲 will be entered 🖫 will not be entered and the status of the claims will	Upon the filing an appeal, the propose be as follows:	3. 12 Up
Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s): 4. The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does not overcome the rejection because at care without creating a new 112, and 18 reflection to be mode for lack of anter for the Consultation of the claim which was 5. The affidavit or exhibit will not be considered because applicant has not shown good and sufficent reasons why it was not earlier presented. Suppresented. Suppresented. Suppresented.	27-30	Claims objected to: 24-	CI
4. The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does not overcome the rejection because at can without creating a new 112 and 19 resident to be mode for lack of antistic of the claim which was 5. The affidavit or exhibit will not be considered because applicant has not shown good and sufficent reasons why it was not earlier apresented. The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does not overcome the rejection because at can be mode for lack of antistic or sufficient reasons why it was not earlier appresented. The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does not overcome the rejection because at can be sufficient to be mode for lack of antistic or sufficient reasons why it was not earlier appresented.	-4.90		_
for "the Compound" + it changes the scope of the claim which us 5. The affidavit or exhibit will not be considered because applicant has not shown good and sufficent reasons why it was not earlier further consideration + assess slanching. Limit Limit Consideration + assess slanching.	e ronowing rejection(s):	Applicant's response has overc	
further consideration + asses searching. Ham I km	, 2nd 18 rejection to be made for lack of anter	thous creating a new	with
☐ The proposed drawing correction ☐ has ☐ has not been approved by the examiner.	slanding. Hay of King	other consideration + a	withe
Other SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600	GARY KUNZ Supervisory patent examiner		_